

TEST REPORT

Report Ref.	LEI21060494A Original		
Date Received	04/06/2021	Date Issued	08/06/2021

Company Name & Address	Fabisimo Ltd Unit E Faversham , ME13 7DZ
Contact Name	

Order Number	039001006-1
Sample Description	Chenille
Ref / Style Number	039001
Colour	
Quality	
Supplier	Prinfab
Batch Number	1
End Use	Various
No Of Samples	1
Quoted Fibre Composition	100% Polyester
Retailer	General

Test	Method	Sample	Result
Pilling Resistance - Martindale Method	BS EN ISO 12945-2: 2000		See Results
Colour Fastness to Rubbing - Dry	BS EN ISO 105 X12: 2016		See Results
Colour Fastness to Rubbing - Wet	BS EN ISO 105 X12: 2016		See Results

Tests marked (^) in this report have been performed by an approved 3rd party laboratory.
Tests marked (*) in this report are not included in our UKAS scope of accreditation.

L Thompson

Louise Thompson
(Client Services Team Leader)



Pilling Resistance - Martindale Method BS EN ISO 12945-2: 2000

Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

	Result	Attribute	Requirement
Grade @ 125 revs	5	No change	
Grade @ 250 revs	5	No change	
Grade @ 500 revs	5	No change	
Grade @ 1000 revs	5	No change	
Test Information			
Test load:	415g		
Condition:	Against self		
Cleansing procedure	As Received		
Number of Test Samples	3		
Number of Observers	2		

Overall Test Result: See Results

Uncertainty: 1/2 grade

Colour Fastness to Rubbing - Dry BS EN ISO 105 X12: 2016

Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

	Staining Result	Requirement
Warp	4 - 5	
Weft	4 - 5	
Force	9N +/- 0.2N	
Rubbing Finger	Rectangular	
Conditioning Time	4 Hours	

Overall Test Result: See Results

Uncertainty: 1/2 grade

Colour Fastness to Rubbing - Wet BS EN ISO 105 X12: 2016

Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

	Staining Result	Requirement
Warp	4 - 5	
Weft	4 - 5	
Force	9N +/- 0.2N	
Rubbing Finger	Rectangular	
Conditioning Time	4 Hours	
Percentage Soak	95% - 100%	

Overall Test Result: See Results

Uncertainty: 1/2 grade

Report Type	Issue Date	Revision Reason	Revision Description
Original	08-Jun-21	Complete Original Issue	N/A



The client acknowledges and agrees that any services provided and/or reports produced by Intertek are done so within the limits of the scope of work agreed pursuant to the client's specific instructions. This report relates specifically to the sample(s) tested that were drawn and delivered by the client or their nominated third party. Intertek does not make any representation or warranty for any bulk samples or certify the bulk samples received from the client. Furthermore, Intertek does not provide a warranty or verification on the sample(s) representing any specific goods, material and/or shipment and only relate to the sample(s) as received and tested. Intertek have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or willful misconduct. In no event, will the contents of any reports or any extracts, excerpts or parts of any reports be distributed or published without the prior written consent of Intertek in each instance. Only the client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report (and then only in its entirety). Any such third parties to whom this report may be circulated rely on the content of the report solely at their own risk.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of $k = 2$, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. Unless otherwise specified all compliance and pass/fail statements are binary simple acceptance based on the tolerance interval and, with the exception of graded methods, a test uncertainty ratio greater (TUR) than 4:1. For graded methods the TUR will drop to as low as 0.5:1 when the tolerance limits are within a grade division of the upper scale limit. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference, and should be considered when results are on or close to Specification Limits / Requirements and in such cases it should be noted that the risk of false acceptance or rejection may be as high as 50%, for further information please refer to ILAC G8.

